\$~56

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 3501/2018

MISS AISHWARYA NANDA (A MINOR) Petitioner Through : Mr. Saurabh Suman Sinha, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondents Through : Mr. Sandeep Mahapatra, Adv. for R-1 Mr. Amit Bansal and Ms. Seema Dolo, Advs. for R-2 & 3

CORAM: HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

%

<u>ORDER</u> 20.04.2018

1. The original record of the CBSE has been placed on record to show that a considered decision has been taken by the CBSE after a trend analysis of not only the class X Maths paper but also of Science and English subjects. Additionally, the CBSE has considered representations received from several students and their parents.

2. We may note that the writ petition does not utter one word of the prejudice which has resulted to the petitioner on account of the re-examination.

3. Counsel for the writ petitioner submits that the petition still survives.

pg. 1 of 2

 Mr. Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the pronouncement of the Supreme Court reported at (2015) 6 SCC 573 Tanvi Sarwal v. Central Board of Secondary Education.
List on 23rd July, 2018.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

APRIL 20, 2018/kr

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

pg. 2 of 2